

REMARKS

Claims 1-100 are pending. Claims 73-100 are withdrawn. Claims 1-34, 36-37, 39, 41, 43-46, 49-50, and 52-57 stand rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being obvious over U.S. Patent No. 6,256,737 to Bianco, et al. in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2001/00121247 to O’Flaherty, et al. and further in view of Cavoukian, “Building in Privacy”. Claim 35 stands rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,256,737 to Bianco, et al. in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2001/00121247 to O’Flaherty, et al. and further in view of Cavoukian, “Building in Privacy” as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,029,195 to Herz. Claim 38 stands rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,256,737 to Bianco, et al. in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2001/00121247 to O’Flaherty, et al. and further in view of Cavoukian, “Building in Privacy” as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,233,618 to Shannon. Claim 40 stands rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,256,737 to Bianco, et al. in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2001/00121247 to O’Flaherty, et al. and further in view of Cavoukian, “Building in Privacy” as applied to claim 39 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,011,858 to Stock, et al. Claims 42 and 47 stand rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,256,737 to Bianco, et al. in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2001/00121247 to O’Flaherty, et al. and further in view of Cavoukian, “Building in Privacy” as applied to claim 39 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,119,096 to Mann, et al. Claim 48 is rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,256,737 to Bianco, et al. in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2001/00121247 to O’Flaherty, et al. and further in view of Cavoukian, “Building in Privacy” as applied to claim 46 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,233,618 to Herz. Claim 51 is rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,256,737 to Bianco, et al. in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2001/00121247 to O’Flaherty, et al. and further in view of Cavoukian, “Building in Privacy” as applied to claim 50 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,233,618 to Shannon.


Reconsideration is requested. The rejections are traversed. No new matter is added. Claims 73-100 are canceled. Claim 101 is added. Claims 1-72 and 101 remain in the case for consideration.

A supplemental amendment with respect to claims 1-72 will be forthcoming.

For the foregoing reasons, reconsideration and allowance of claims 1-72 and 101 of the application as amended is solicited. The Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned at (503) 222-3613 if it appears that an interview would be helpful in advancing the case.

Respectfully submitted,

MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.



Ariel S. Rogson
Reg. No. 43,054

MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.
210 SW Morrison Street, Suite 400
Portland, OR 97204
503-222-3613
Customer No. 60460